

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,064	03/11/2004	Masamichi Andoh	M1071.1898	4702
32172 7:	590 04/25/2005		EXAM	INER
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			HAM, SEUNGSOOK	
41 ST FL.	1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE) 41 ST FL.			PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10036-2714		2817	
		·	DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				H·3)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/797,064	ANDOH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Seungsook Ham	2817				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	,			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rily within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communicat ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J	une 2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	l (d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmen		🗖					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	_	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, figures 1A-3B, and 8, relates to a dielectric resonator device having a support base disposed on a support columns;

Species II, figures 5A-6B, relates to a dielectric resonator device having a support base with a center hole where the dielectric core is disposed;

Species III, figures 9A-9D, relates to a dielectric resonator device having a square-shaped dielectric core is rotated at 45 degree with respect to the support base;

Species IV, figures 10 and 13, relates to a dielectric resonator device having a dielectric core with a center hole in x, y or z direction and a conductor wire passed through the center hole; and

Species V, figure 14A and 14B, relates to a dielectric resonator device having a cross shape dielectric core having an octagonal shape with cutting the four corners in x-y plane.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/797,064

Art Unit: 2817

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seungsook Ham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2405. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571)-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seungsook Ham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2817 Page 4